## RECORD OF LAKE REHABILITATION

I.	Lak	e Packground
		Lake name Swan Location Sitka
	В.	Surface acreage 22.9
	C.	Volume (acre feet) 144.0
	D.	Inlets (number, size, and description) 2 small inlets,
		less than .25 CFS. One additional seep and swamp area
	Ε.	Outlets (number, size, and description) One outlet
		to saltwater - via culvert from lake - a distance of
	_	approximately 400 yards
	F.	Estimated time in days to effect a complete water
	C	change. (?) Detoxified in approximately six weeks
	G.	Description of shoreline, swamps, bogs, shallow areas, underwater springs, submerged or emergent vegetation,
		or any other feature which might interfere with the
		application or distribution of toxicant. Shallow lake
		with extensive potomogeton and water lily to a depth
		of six feet. This in effect covers better than 50%
		of the surface area. Some swamp and bog area in
		tributary area.
II.		treatment Data -
	A.	Volumetric map showing depth contours, number of depth
		readings (if applicable), and description of method
		used to determine volume. Area determined by a plane
		table survey and depth by sounding line and fathometer
	R	Trash species to be removed. Eb and DV
	17 •	Trash species to be removed. Eb and by
III.	Tre	atment Data
		Date 5/14/69
	В.	Toxicant used Powdered and liquid rotenone
		1. Total gallons of liquid used 30
		2. Concentration of liquid (percent) 5%
		3. Total pounds of powder used 435
		4. Concentration of powder (percent) 5%
	C •	Level of concentration in lake (ppm) est. 1.50 PPM
	D.	Method of application Powder distributed by boat.
	77	Liquid by gasoline pumper along shore.
	Ε.	Temperature profile (graph on reverse side) 58.º
		top to bottom
	F.	Water chemistry: pil 6.3 . Methyl orange
		alkalinity Total alkalinity
		- Total dissolved solids -

IV.	Pos	t Treatment Data
	Λ.	Duration of toxicity or date lake is determined to be
		ron-toxic de-toxified in approximately six weeks
	В.	lethod of determination weekly testing with coho
		fingerling (live-boxed)
	C .	Success of kill complete
	D.	Method of determination gill netting, visual observation
		and failure of test fish to survive in any area of the
		lake.
	Ε.	Comments Actual concentration difficult to estimate
		as some bog and inlet areas might detoxify before
		draining back into lake.
		and about